

**NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS**

California Rules of Court, rule 977(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 977(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 977.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOURTH APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION THREE

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

SCOTT JOSHUA VENNUM,

Defendant and Appellant.

G033825

(Super. Ct. No. 03CF2251)

O P I N I O N

Appeal from a judgment of the Superior Court of Orange County, Gary S. Paer and William R. Froeberg, Judges. Affirmed.

Jennevee H. De Guzman, under appointment by the Court of Appeal, for Defendant and Appellant.

No appearance for Plaintiff and Respondent.

\* \* \*

We appointed counsel to represent appellant on appeal. Counsel filed a brief setting forth the facts of the case. Counsel did not argue against the client, but advised the court no issues were found to argue on appellant's behalf. In accordance with the procedure announced in *People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436, appellant was given

time to file written argument in his own behalf and submitted a brief. After reviewing the entire appellate record and considering the briefs filed by both appellate counsel and appellant, we conclude there are no arguable issues presented in this case. (*Id.* at pp. 441-442; *People v. Johnson* (1981) 123 Cal.App.3d 109, 111-112.)

The judgment is affirmed.

RYLAARSDAM, J.

WE CONCUR:

SILLS, P. J.

O'LEARY, J.